

ACTION ALERT

TELL CONGRESS SALT MUST BE PRESERVED - NOT LIMITED, RESTRICTED OR MODIFIED IN ANY WAY

There have been multiple reports this week suggesting that a variety of alternative proposals may be on the table **to restrict**, **limit or modify SALT** rather than eliminate it entirely as the "Big Six" first proposed. Our allies in the House have confirmed these reports, and told us these talks are progressing rapidly.

This is the first of several critical crossroads we expect to face, and we need your help to make calls to Congress immediately, urging Members to fully preserve SALT, and reject proposals that undermine this deduction which has been a central tenet of our federalism for over 100 years.

The good news is that the talk of alternatives to eliminating SALT means our voices are being heard by Members of Congress, and they now know there is strong and widespread opposition to taking away SALT. However, we must remain vigilant and fully engaged because so-called compromise proposals can sound reasonable, but they also can be harmful to homeowners, middle class taxpayers, state and local governments and the public services they provide, much like full repeal of SALT.

Please urge the members of your organizations to call their Members of Congress in the next 24-48 hours.

Message

The SALT messages we need to deliver are:

- We stand firmly for the preservation of the full deduction for state and local taxes, and urge you speak out in favor
 of SALT and vote against any tax reform plan that eliminates, restricts or modifies this deduction.
- 2. SALT has been a fixture of the federal tax code and our nation's fiscal federalism for more than 100 years to guard against double taxation of households and protect the fiscal integrity of state and local governments, and it should remain in the tax code without limitation.
- 3. Any limitations, restrictions or changes to SALT would undermine these fundamental principles of our federalism and create a slippery slope that would subject SALT to continued erosion whenever Washington needs more money at the expense of 44 million middle class households and homeowners who now claim this deduction.
- 4. The elimination of SALT is one of the largest sources of revenue in the "Big Six" tax plan, estimated at \$1.3 trillion dollars taken from 44 million households. Thus, any compromise and anything less than preserving the full deduction, is sure to cause millions of taxpayers to pay higher taxes, undermine funding for state and local government and the services they support, and possibly cause home values to decline as well.

Targets

Calls to any Members of Congress are helpful, but we ask you to do everything possible to make sure the Members on the attached page hear from you because all of them have high SALT percentages in their districts and have the most to lose if SALT is changed. If you have state or local affiliates that touch these districts, please share with your colleagues and ask them to take action today. Please don't be shy; more calls are better than fewer. We can't overdo it. We need to mobilize.

The phone number for the Congressional switchboard is (202) 224-3121. Please let us know what you hear. Thanks so much.

State	District	Representative	Party	% of Taxpayers Claiming SALT	# of Total Tax Filers	# of SALT Deductions	Total SALT Deduction	Average SALT Deduction
CA	22	Nunes (R)	R	23.86%	153,985	36,733	\$361,586,800	\$9,844
CA	23	McCarthy (R)	R	29.25%	478,611	140,004	\$2,011,800,500	\$14,370
CA	25	Knight (R)	R	33.18%	353,761	117,394	\$1,963,153,000	\$16,723
CA	39	Royce (R)	R	33.24%	637,105	211,760	\$3,298,137,200	\$15,575
CA	45	Walters (R)	R	37.10%	210,444	78,074	\$1,420,942,000	\$18,200
CA	48	Rohrabacher (R)	R	37.10%	210,444	78,074	\$1,420,942,000	\$18,200
CA	49	Issa (R)	R	34.96%	516,688	180,616	\$2,984,562,000	\$16,524
СО	6	Coffman (R)	R	42.15%	173,587	73,158	\$730,533,500	\$9,986
IA	3	Young (R)	R	35.02%	378,390	132,520	\$1,386,190,000	\$10,460
IL	6	Roskam (R)	R	38.46%	560,386	215,536	\$3,196,473,600	\$14,830
KS	3	Yoder (R)	R	37.09%	359,920	133,495	\$1,497,309,000	\$11,216
MI	8	Bishop (R)	R	34.63%	375,125	129,913	\$1,354,539,800	\$10,427
MI	11	Trott (R)	R	30.05%	357,983	107,590	\$1,142,222,600	\$10,616
MN	2	Lewis (R)	R	42.54%	368,913	156,933	\$1,801,436,200	\$11,479
MN	3	Paulsen (R)	R	39.90%	288,932	115,280	\$1,731,646,300	\$15,021
NC	2	Holding (R)	R	31.97%	374,802	119,817	\$1,118,547,210	\$9,335
NC	13	Budd (R)	R	33.27%	297,089	98,852	\$948,383,500	\$9,594
NE	2	Bacon (R)	R	32.92%	303,755	100,010	\$1,248,511,000	\$12,484
NJ	3	MacArthur (R)	R	42.62%	167,853	71,533	\$857,484,000	\$11,987
NJ	7	Lance (R)	R	46.22%	459,890	212,570	\$4,522,686,800	\$21,276
NY	2	King (R)	R	47.80%	434,736	207,799	\$4,178,971,000	\$20,111
NY	11	Donovan (R)	R	36.02%	419,750	151,202	\$2,081,860,900	\$13,769
NY	19	Faso (R)	R	31.08%	396,465	123,225	\$1,540,434,500	\$12,501
NY	23	Reed (R)	R	22.09%	309,200	68,300	\$800,207,000	\$11,716
NY	27	Collins (R)	R	28.83%	576,445	166,200	\$2,015,226,500	\$12,125
ОН	10	Turner (R)	R	27.14%	338,120	91,750	\$851,720,000	\$9,283
ОН	12	Tiberi (R)	R	32.73%	452,647	148,153	\$1,714,441,500	\$11,572
ОН	16	Renacci (R)	R	28.05%	409,899	114,994	\$1,201,028,600	\$10,444
PA	6	Costello (R)	R	39.73%	250,966	99,712	\$1,317,994,000	\$13,218
PA	7	Meehan (R)	R	38.41%	485,571	186,492	\$2,323,003,500	\$12,456
PA	8	Fitzpatrick (R)	R	43.60%	411,344	179,334	\$2,347,502,000	\$13,090
PA	16	Smucker (R)	R	35.31%	264,732	93,488	\$1,065,165,000	\$11,394

TX	24	Marchant (R)	R	26.39%	514,728	135,832	\$1,090,096,000	\$8,025
UT	4	Love (R)	R	35.51%	273,250	97,027	\$775,191,800	\$7,989
VA	10	Comstock (R)	R	49.05%	508,943	249,650	\$3,385,786,700	\$13,562
WA	8	Reichert (R)	R	31.75%	424,760	134,883	\$1,057,498,600	\$7,840